REPORT TO CABINET

Open/ Exempt		Would a	Would any decisions proposed :						
Any especially affected Wards	Discretionary /	Be entirely within Cabinet's powers to decide YES/NO Need to be recommendations to Council YES/NO							
	Operational	Is it a K	YES /NO						
Lead Member: Councillor Jim Moriarty			Other Cabinet Members consulted: None						
E-mail: Cllr.James.Moriarty@West- Norfolk.gov.uk			Other Members consulted:						
Lead Officer: Hannah Wood-Handy E-mail: Hannah.Wood-Handy@West- Norfolk.gov.uk Direct Dial: 01553 616734			Other Officers consulted: Alexa Baker, The Monitoring Officer Amanda Driver, CIL Senior Monitoring and Compliance Officer						
Financial Implications YES/ NO	Policy/ Personnel Implications YES /NO	Statutory Implication YES /NO	ıs	Equal Impact Assessment YES/NO If YES: Prescreening/ Full Assessment	Risk Management Implications YES/NO	Environmental Considerations YES/ NO			

Date of meeting: 10th December 2024

CIL SPENDING 2024/25

Summary

The CIL Governance and Spending Policy Document is normally reviewed on an annual basis. The CIL Spending Panel review and produce a Draft List of Infrastructure Projects which meet the changing needs and aspirations of the Borough to support infrastructure. Cabinet will then review and decide on amended priorities as appropriate.

Cabinet has asked the CIL Spending Panel and officers to review the existing list of infrastructure projects as identified in the CIL Governance and Spending Policy Document 2024 to ensure that both corporate projects and community projects are eligible for funding going forward which meet the priorities of the Corporate Business Plan.

This review is not able to be completed by the end of the December 2024 in advance of the next round of CIL Spending applications opening in January 2025. Therefore, it is proposed to roll forward the existing CIL Governance and Spending Policy Document 2025 to allow for CIL Spending applications to come forward in January 2025 and a subsequent CIL Spending Panel to consider applications if appropriate make recommendations on applications and allocate funding (subject to final Cabinet approval). In tandem, officers will work with CIL Spending Panel to review the CIL Governance and Spending Policy Document and make recommendations on a new Draft List of Infrastructure Projects and an appropriate split for allocations for funding between corporate and community projects that can be carried forward for approval in Spring 2025

Recommendation

Cabinet Resolves:

That the existing CIL Governance and Spending Policy Document 2024 roll forward to allow the January 2025 round of CIL Spending applications to be submitted and considered by CIL Spending Panel and decide applications for funding under £50,000 and recommendation to Cabinet those that exceed £50,000.

Reason for Decision

For the round of CIL Spending applications to take place in January 2025.

1 Background

As set out in the summary, Cabinet has asked the CIL Spending Panel and officers to review the existing list of infrastructure projects as identified in the CIL Governance and Spending Policy Document 2024 to ensure that both corporate projects and community projects are eligible for funding going forward which meet the priorities of the Corporate Business Plan. This review is not able to be completed by the end of the December 2024 in advance of the next round of CIL Spending applications opening in January 2025.

2 Options Considered

The options available are a) to not roll the document forward and not invite applications for the first round in 2025 or b) is to continue and roll forward the existing CIL Governance and Spending Policy Document 2024 to allow for January 2025 CIL Spending applications to be submitted and considered by the CIL Spending Panel in the existing way.

3 Policy Implications

The current and existing policy to remain in place. Once the first round of applications has been considered, the review of the Governance and Spending Policy Document ging forward will be carried out.

4 Financial Implications

None

5 Personnel Implications

None

6 Environmental Considerations

None

Statutory Considerations 7

None.

Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) (Pre screening report template attached) 8

9 **Risk Management Implications**

None

10 **Declarations of Interest / Dispensations Granted**

None

Background Papers 11

Existing CIL policy document.

Stage 1 - Pre-Screening Equality Impact Assessment

For equalities profile information please visit <u>Norfolk Insight - Demographics and Statistics - Data Observatory</u>

Name of policy/service/function	CIL Spending Arrangements 2025						
Is this a new or existing policy/ service/function? (tick as appropriate)	New		Exis	ting	х		
Brief summary/description of the main aims of the policy/service/function being screened.	Rolling over arrangements for 2025.						
Please state if this policy/service is rigidly constrained by statutory obligations, and identify relevant legislation.							
Who has been consulted as part of the development of the policy/service/function? – new only (identify stakeholders consulted with)	N/A						
Question	Answer						
1. Is there any reason to believe that the policy/service/function could have a specific impact on people from one or more of the following groups, for				Positive	Negative	Neutral	Unsure
example, because they have particular needs, experiences, issues or	Age					Х	
priorities or in terms of ability to access	Disability					Х	
the service?	Sex					Х	
	Gender Re-	assignment				Х	
Please tick the relevant box for each group.	Marriage/civ	vil partnership				Х	
NB. Equality neutral means no	Pregnancy 6	& maternity				Х	
negative impact on any group.	Race					Х	
	Religion or I	oelief				Х	
If potential adverse impacts are	Sexual orier	ntation				Х	
identified, then a full Equality Impact Assessment (Stage 2) will be	Armed force	es community				Х	
required.	Care leaver	S				Х	
	Other (eg lo responsibilit	w income, ca ies)	ring			Х	

2. Is the proposed policy/service likely to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or denying opportunities to another? 3. Could this policy/service be perceived as impacting on communities differently? 4. Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination? 5. Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions? If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section If 'yes' to questions 2 - 4 a full impact assessment will be required unless comments are provided to explain why this is not felt necessary: Decision agreed by EWG member: Assessment completed by: Name Date Date Date	Question	Answer	Comments			
perceived as impacting on communities differently? 4. Is the policy/service specifically designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination? 5. Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions? If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section If 'yes' to questions 2 - 4 a full impact assessment will be required unless comments are provided to explain why this is not felt necessary: Decision agreed by EWG member: Assessment completed by: Name Planning Control Manager Date completed 2nd December 2024	to affect relations between certain equality communities or to damage relations between the equality communities and the Council, for example because it is seen as favouring a particular community or		Yes / No			
designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential discrimination? 5. Are any impacts identified above minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions? If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section If 'yes' to questions 2 - 4 a full impact assessment will be required unless comments are provided to explain why this is not felt necessary: Decision agreed by EWG member: Assessment completed by: Name Date completed 2nd December 2024	perceived as impacting on		Yes / No			
minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions? If yes, please agree actions with a member of the Corporate Equalities Working Group and list agreed actions in the comments section If 'yes' to questions 2 - 4 a full impact assessment will be required unless comments are provided to explain why this is not felt necessary: Decision agreed by EWG member: Assessment completed by: Name Job title Planning Control Manager Date completed 2nd December 2024	designed to tackle evidence of disadvantage or potential	Yes / No				
Morking Group and list agreed actions in the comments section If 'yes' to questions 2 - 4 a full impact assessment will be required unless comments are provided to explain why this is not felt necessary: Decision agreed by EWG member: Assessment completed by: Name Date completed 2nd December 2024	minor and if so, can these be eliminated or reduced by minor actions?	Yes / No	Actions:			
Decision agreed by EWG member: Assessment completed by: Name Job title Planning Control Manager Date completed 2 nd December 2024	member of the Corporate Equaliti Working Group and list agreed ac		Actions agreed by EWG member:			
Name Job title Planning Control Manager Date completed 2 nd December 2024	comments are provided to expl	ain wh				ess
Date completed 2 nd December 2024	-	Hannah Wood- Handy				
	Job title	Planning Control Manager				
Reviewed by EWG member Date	Date completed	ecember 202	24			
	Reviewed by EWG member				Date	

☐ Please tick to confirm completed EIA Pre-screening Form has been shared with Corporate Policy (<u>corporate.policy@west-norfolk.gov.uk</u>)